



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/074,000				
	02/14/2002	Pascal Agin	Q68412	6662
23373 7590	06/07/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION	•		арріан, сна	RLES NANA
SUITE 800	ANIA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, I	DC 20037		2686	

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/074,000	AGIN, PASCAL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charles Appiah	2686
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 De	ecember 2004.	
•	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	- ·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/074,000 Page 2

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

- 2. Claims 1-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0119784 (Application No. 10/073,950). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations of the claims of the instant application are broad enough and are encompassed by the limitations of the claims of the patent publication and as such it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the invention of the instant application using the claims of the patent publication for managing processing resources in a mobile radio system.
- 3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0053597 (Application No. 10/250,990). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application are broad enough to read on the claims of the patent publication and as such it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the invention of the instant application using the claims of the patent publication for managing processing resources in a mobile radio system.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,000

Art Unit: 2686

1. Claims 1-16 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10/466,058. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the features of the claims of Application No. 10/466,058 are broad enough to be encompassed by the claims of the instant application and as such it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the claims of the Application No. 10/466,058 using the claims of the instant application in order to effectively manage processing resources of a mobile radio system.

Page 3

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Andersson et al. (6,434,380) discloses a telecommunication system having capacity resources management system wherein the resources include such capabilities as transmission power, spreading code, bit rate, etc. "[European Telecommunications Standards Institute: Technical Specification —Universal mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS); UTRAN lub Interface NBAP Signaling (3G TS 25.443 version 3.2.0. Release 1999")" ETSI TS 125 433 V3.2.0, June 2000 XP002166441] (ETSI-TS).

Art Unit: 2686

Minn et al. « Dynamic Assignment of Orthogonal Variable-Spreading-Factor Codes in W-CDMA discloses an optimal dynamic code assignment scheme using variable spreading factor codes.

Aksentijevic et al. (6,738,624) discloses a system for processing capacity reporting in a mobile telecommunications network that includes the use of spreading factor as a capacity parameter

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Appiah whose telephone number is 571 272-7904. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 571 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHARLES APPIAH PRIMARY EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 10/074,000

Art Unit: 2686

Page 5